

MANAGER NAME: Sample - Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1


BENCHMARK: MSCI World  
R-SQUARED: 92.11%  
AAPRYL PEER GROUP: GARP

PRODUCT AUM (\$): -  
FIRM AUM: -

INCEPTION DATE: 04/30/2017  
MONTH ENDING: 04/30/2025

### Clone Portfolio Factor Weights (Passive Replication Portfolios)


Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1  
(Data as of 04/2025)



### Factor Exposures vs Peer Group Average (Static)


Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1  
(Data as of 04/2025)

Aapryl Peer Group: GARP



### Style Analysis Over Time - Static (Full History)


Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1  
01/2013 - 04/2025



### Manager Skill Comparison vs Peer Group (148 funds)

Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1  
(Data as of 04/2025)

Aapryl Peer Group: Global Large GARP



NOTES:

MANAGER NAME: Sample - Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1

BENCHMARK: MSCI World

R-SQUARED: 92.11%

PRODUCT AUM (\$): -

AAPRYL PEER GROUP: GARP

INCEPTION DATE: 04/30/2017

MONTH ENDING: 04/30/2025

## Excess Return Statistics

Sample GlobalEquityPortfolio1

01/2013 - 04/2025

Benchmark: MSCI World


Manager	7.37%
Benchmark	10.43%
Static Clone	11.03%
Dynamic Clone	9.90%
<b>Traditional</b>	
Manager Vs Benchmark	-3.06%
<b>Excess Decomposition</b>	
Style Environment (Static Clone - Benchmark)	0.60%
Return from Skill (Manager - Static Clone)	-3.67%
<b>Skill Decomposition</b>	
Factor Timing (Dynamic - Static)	-1.13%
Stock Selection (Return from Skill - Style Timing)	-2.54%
Aapryl Score	3
R-squared	92.11%

\*Annualized since January 2013

## Manager Skill vs Peer Group

Sample GlobalEquityPortfolio1

(Data as of 04/2025)



## Market Trend Analysis

Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1

Benchmark : MSCI World

Peer Group Median

	Manager			Benchmark			Peer Group Median					
	Total	Rising	Falling	No Trend	Total	Rising	Falling	No Trend	Total	Rising	Falling	No Trend
Annualized Return	7.37%	3.80%	10.30%	12.07%	10.43%	8.46%	8.96%	14.08%	9.59%	7.03%	8.51%	14.05%
Standard Deviation (Annualized)	13.31%	12.56%	17.12%	13.10%	14.14%	13.13%	17.72%	14.52%	13.95%	12.84%	17.67%	14.37%
Sharpe Ratio	0.43	0.19	0.48	0.78	0.62	0.54	0.39	0.84	0.57	0.44	0.37	0.85
Period Count (In Months)	148	79	18	51	148	79	18	51	148	79	18	51
Upside Capture	79.20%	71.02%	90.38%	85.73%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	94.71%	91.56%	98.22%	97.54%
Downside Capture	91.06%	92.46%	86.31%	91.31%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.20%	98.37%	100.08%	96.96%
Information Ratio	-0.57	-0.90	0.20	-0.39	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-0.46	-0.80	-0.24	-0.02
Tracking Error	5.38%	5.17%	6.82%	5.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.82%	1.79%	1.92%	1.85%

\*A minimum of count of 12 months is required within a market trend for analysis to calculate. "N/A" will appear for scenarios for which there are less than 12.

## Data Disclaimer

**Lipper:** Copyright 2025 © Reuters. All rights reserved. Any copying, republication or redistribution of Information, including by caching, framing or similar means, is expressly prohibited without the prior written consent of Lipper. Lipper shall not be liable for any errors or delays in the content, or for any actions taken in reliance thereon.

**MSCI:** Copyright MSCI 2025. All Rights Reserved. Without prior written permission of MSCI, this information and any other MSCI intellectual property may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used to create any financial instruments or products or any indices. This information is provided on an "as is" basis, and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Neither MSCI nor any third party involved in or related to the computing or compiling of the data makes any express or implied warranties, representations or guarantees concerning the MSCI index-related data, and in no event will MSCI or any third party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) relating to any use of this information.

**Russell:** Frank Russell Company is the source and owner of the trademarks, service marks and copyrights related to the Russell Indexes. Russell® is a trademark of Frank Russell Company.

**PSN:** PSN Manager Data from Informa Investment Solutions, a division of Informa Business Intelligence, Inc. Informa and its affiliates are not responsible for any adverse consequences or trading, investment, or commercial decisions arising from use of or accuracy of the data.

**Bloomberg:** Bloomberg and its associated data, Copyright © 2025 Bloomberg Index Services Limited. Bloomberg® is a trademark and service mark of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates (collectively "Bloomberg"), used under license. Bloomberg or Bloomberg's licensors, own all proprietary rights in the Bloomberg Indices. Bloomberg does not approve or endorse this material, or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information herein, or makes any warranty, express or implied, as to the results to be obtained therefrom and, to the maximum extent allowed by law, neither shall have any liability or responsibility for injury or damages arising in connection therewith.

**MANAGER NAME:** Sample - Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1

**BENCHMARK:** MSCI World  
**R-SQUARED:** 92.11%  
**AAPRYL PEER GROUP:** GARP

**PRODUCT AUM (\$):** -  
**FIRM AUM:** -

**INCEPTION DATE:** 04/30/2017  
**MONTH ENDING:** 04/30/2025

## Performance Insights Overview

- The analysis covers the period through April 30, 2025, for the Sample Global Equity Portfolio 1 against the MSCI World benchmark, with inception starting on April 30, 2017.
- The portfolio's returns have a high R-Squared of 92.11% relative to its clone portfolio, indicating that its performance is closely aligned with its underlying style and factor exposures.
- The peer group used for comparison is the Growth at a Reasonable Price (GARP) category, which blends growth and value investing principles to seek growth potential at reasonable valuations.
- The portfolio's annualized return since January 2013 is 7.37%, below the MSCI World benchmark return of 10.43% over the same period.
- Compared to a static clone portfolio designed to replicate style, the manager underperformed with an excess return of -3.67%, indicating a negative contribution from active skill relative to style exposures.
- Decomposition of returns shows style exposures provided a modest positive return advantage of 0.60% over the benchmark, while skill-based returns (stock selection and factor timing) detracted from performance.
- Specifically, stock selection caused a negative return impact of -2.54%, and factor timing skill contributed -1.13%, reflecting challenges in adding value through security choices and timing style changes.
- The portfolio's style evolved over time, moving from aggressive value and high-quality blend toward a more core and GARP orientation, consistent with the peer group's style range.
- Factor exposure analysis shows the portfolio's tilt is roughly in the middle to upper quartiles within its peer group for Value, Economic Sensitivity, Core, Yield, Quality, and Growth factors.
- In comparisons versus peers, the fund ranks between the 10th and 25th percentile for skill, indicating it is among the better performers in the GARP peer group, despite the negative skill decomposition against its clone.
- Risk measures reveal the portfolio has a standard deviation of 13.31%, slightly lower than the benchmark's 14.14%, but with a lower Sharpe ratio of 0.43 compared to 0.62 for the benchmark, suggesting less favorable risk-adjusted returns.
- Market cycle data shows the portfolio captures roughly 79.2% of the benchmark's upside during rising markets and 91.06% of the downside during falling markets, indicating moderate participation in gains and some protection against losses.
- The tracking error against the benchmark is 5.38%, reflecting moderate variability of returns relative to the MSCI World index.
- The Aapryl Score is 3 on an undisclosed scale, which likely signals below-average confidence in future outperformance based on measured persistence of skill.
- Overall, the portfolio demonstrates style consistency and adequate alignment with GARP factors but exhibits limitations in skill-based stock selection and timing, leading to underperformance relative to both its benchmark and clones.
- Key strengths include style alignment to a balanced GARP approach and moderate risk control with some downside protection during market declines.
- Weaknesses involve negative contributions from active skills, particularly stock selection and factor timing, which detract from return generation.
- Actionable insight for investors is to monitor whether the manager can improve active security choices and timing to add value beyond style exposures, as well as assess whether the portfolio's moderate upside capture meets their growth expectations.

**Disclosure:** This commentary was generated using AI based solely on our proprietary data and methodology. While the insights reflect our internal models and results, we recommend reviewing the information in the context of your specific needs.

[REQUEST A DEMO OF AAPRYL'S MANAGER SKILLS REPORTING IN ZEPHYR'S DASHBOARD](#)